

SEANDELL FORMAN,

Plaintiff,

v.

TEXAS GUARANTEED STUDENT
LOAN CORPORATION (TG),

Defendant.

On March 6, 2014, the court entered a scheduling order with the a discovery deadline of July 7, 2014 [D.E. 31]. On July 7, 2014, plaintiff, appearing pro se, filed a motion for the extension of time to complete discovery [D.E. 36]. On July 16, 2014, the court granted plaintiff's uncontested motion and extended the deadline to complete discovery to August 21, 2014 [D.E. 39]. On August 7, 2014, plaintiff served seventeen interrogatories. Defendant objected to the interrogatories. See [D.E. 46] 3. On August 21, 2014, plaintiff filed a second motion for an extension of time to complete discovery [D.E. 43]. On August 26, 2014, plaintiff filed a motion for an order compelling discovery [D.E. 44]. On September 9, 2014, defendant responded in opposition to plaintiff's motion to compel [D.E. 46].

Case 5:13-cv-00691-D Document 52 Filed 10/02/14 Page 1 of 2

369 F. Supp. 2d 648, 651 (M.D.N.C. 2005). Moreover, the plaintiff's motion to compel is untimely. See English, 2014 WL 555661, at *4; PCS Phosphate Co. v. Norfolk S. Corp., 238 F.R.D. 555, 558 (E.D.N.C. 2006). Furthermore, plaintiff failed to attach the interrogatories and objections to the motion to compel and thereby violated Local Civil Rule 7.1(c).

In sum, plaintiff's motion for an extension of time to complete discovery [D.E. 43] is DENIED. Plaintiff's motion for an order compelling discovery [D.E. 44] is DENIED. Finally, plaintiff's motion to strike affirmative defenses [D.E. 40] is DENIED.

SO ORDERED. This 2 day of October 2014.


JAMES C. DEVER III
Chief United States District Judge